

# Local $L^2$ bounded projections in FEEC

**Johnny Guzmán**

Division of Applied Mathematics

Brown University

In collaboration with **Douglas Arnold**

## Outline

- Finite element Exterior Calculus (FEEC)
- Importance of Bounded Projections
- Previous Work
- Construction of new projections

## Finite Element Exterior Calculus

The Finite element exterior Calculus (FEEC) was coined by Arnold, Falk and Winther and developed in the following works.

- Arnold, Falk, and Winther, *Finite element exterior calculus, homological techniques, and applications*, (2006).
- Arnold, Falk, and Winther, *Finite element exterior calculus: from Hodge theory to numerical stability* , (2010).
- Arnold, *Finite Element Exterior Calculus*, (2018).

... continued

- They generalize higher-order Nedelec finite elements to any dimension
- Develop finite element methods for the Hodge Laplacian
- Several applications are discussed
- There is strong connection between FEEC and Hassler Whitney's proof of de Rham's theorem.

## Why do we need FEEC or Nedelec/Whitney finite elements: The Maxwell eigenvalue problem

Let  $\Omega = [0, 1]^2$  and consider the two functional spaces

$$H(\text{curl}, \Omega) := \{u \in [L^2(\Omega)]^2 : \text{curl } u \in L^2(\Omega)\}$$

$$\mathring{H}(\text{curl}, \Omega) := \{u \in H(\text{curl}, \Omega) : u \cdot t = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}.$$

Then, consider the Maxwell eigenvalue problem in two dimensions can be written as:

Find  $u \in \mathring{H}(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  that satisfy

$$\int_{\Omega} \text{curl } u \text{ curl } v = \lambda \int_{\Omega} u \cdot v \quad \forall v \in \mathring{H}(\text{curl}, \Omega).$$

The non-zero eigenvalues are given by  $\pi^2(m^2 + n^2)$  for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Finite element approximation of the Maxwell eigenvalue problem

We start with a triangulation of  $\Omega$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_h$ . Then using this triangulation we can define a finite dimensional space  $\mathring{V}_h \subset \mathring{H}(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ .

Find  $u_h \in \mathring{V}_h$ ,  $\lambda_h \in \mathbb{R}$  that satisfy

$$\int_{\Omega} \text{curl } u_h \text{ curl } v_h = \lambda_h \int_{\Omega} u_h \cdot v_h \quad \forall v \in \mathring{V}_h.$$

One possible choice is

$$\mathring{V}_h = \{v \in [C(\Omega)]^2 : v|_T \in [\mathcal{P}^1(T)]^2, \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h, v \cdot t = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}.$$

In other words, this is the space of vector-valued, **continuous piece-wise linear functions** defined  $\mathcal{T}_h$  with tangential components vanishing on  $\partial\Omega$ . This choice, although at first glance seems natural, will lead to **inaccurate approximations** (see for example the work of Arnold, Falk and Winther or the work of Boffi).

**... continued**

On the other hand, we can use the Nedelec/Whitney edge elements

$$V_h = \{v \in H(\text{curl}, \Omega) : v = a_T + b_T(y, -x)', a_T, b_T \in \mathbb{R}, \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h, \}$$

and the space with boundary conditions

$$\mathring{V}_h = \{v \in V_h : v \cdot t = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}.$$

Convergence to the eigenvalues can be proved for this space.

## Bounded Commuting Projections

In the center of the analysis of FEEC are bounded commuting projections. For simplicity, let us consider the three dimensional case with a contractible domain. We let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a contractible polyhedral domain. A de Rham sequence in Sobolev spaces is

$$H^1(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} H(\text{curl}, \Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} H(\text{div}, \Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} L^2(\Omega)$$

Let us use the notation  $V^0 := H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $V^1 = H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ ,  $V^2 := H(\text{div}, \Omega)$  and  $V^3 := L^2(\Omega)$ .

After a triangulating  $\Omega$  one can has the Whitney/Nedelec (lowest order) finite elements:

$$V_h^0(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} V_h^1 \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} V_h^2 \xrightarrow{\text{div}} V_h^3$$

$V_h^0$  are continuous piece-linears,  $V_h^1$  are Nedelec edge elements,  $V_h^2$  are the Raviart-Thomas finite elements,  $V_h^3$  are piece-wise constants.



## Commuting projections of Nedelec

Nedelec developed the canonical projections in this case and showed they are commuting  $\tilde{\Pi}^i : \tilde{V}^i \rightarrow V_h^i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq 3$ . Here  $\tilde{V}^i \subset V^i$  that consist of smoother functions.

The commuting diagram can be written as:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \tilde{V}^0 & \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} & \tilde{V}^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} & \tilde{V}^2 & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & \tilde{V}^3 \\ \downarrow \tilde{\Pi}^0 & & \downarrow \tilde{\Pi}^1 & & \downarrow \tilde{\Pi}^2 & & \downarrow \tilde{\Pi}^3 \\ V_h^0 & \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} & V_h^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} & V_h^2 & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & V_h^3 \end{array}$$

$$\text{grad } \tilde{\Pi}^0 = \tilde{\Pi}^1 \text{grad}$$

$$\text{curl } \tilde{\Pi}^1 = \tilde{\Pi}^2 \text{curl}$$

$$\text{div } \tilde{\Pi}^2 = \tilde{\Pi}^3 \text{div}$$

### Some examples of canonical projections:

The projection  $\tilde{\Pi}^0$  is simply interpolating functions at the vertices of the triangulation. That is,

$$\tilde{\Pi}^0 v(x) = v(x) \quad \text{for all vertices } x.$$

The projection  $\tilde{\Pi}^2$  is Raviart-Thomas projection

$$\int_f \tilde{\Pi}^2 v \cdot n \, ds = \int_f v \cdot n \, ds \quad \text{for all faces } f.$$

So, we see that  $\tilde{\Pi}^i$  are not defined on the spaces  $V^i$  let alone bounded on in these spaces.

## Bounded commuting projections

The work of Schoberl (2005), Christiansen and Winther (2008), Falk and Winther (2014), Ern et al. (2019) has lead to bounded commuting projections:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} V^0 & \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} & V^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} & V^2 & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & V^3 \\ \downarrow \Pi^0 & & \downarrow \Pi^1 & & \downarrow \Pi^2 & & \downarrow \Pi^3 \\ V_h^0 & \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} & V_h^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} & V_h^2 & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & V_h^3 \end{array}$$

$$\|\Pi^0 v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$$

$$\|\Pi^1 v\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)} \leq C \|v\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}$$

$$\|\Pi^2 v\|_{H(\text{div}, \Omega)} \leq C \|v\|_{H(\text{div}, \Omega)}$$

## Higher dimensions

In general dimension  $n$  one has the exterior derivatives  $d^k$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$  and one has

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} V^0 & \xrightarrow{d^0} & V^1 & \xrightarrow{d^1} & V^2 & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & V^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} & V^n \\ \downarrow \Pi^0 & & \downarrow \Pi^1 & & \downarrow \Pi^2 & & & & \downarrow \Pi^{n-1} & & \downarrow \Pi^n \\ V_h^0 & \xrightarrow{d^0} & V_h^1 & \xrightarrow{d^1} & V_h^2 & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & V_h^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} & V_h^n \end{array}$$

Here the space  $V^k = \{v \in L^2 \Lambda^k(\Omega) : d^k v \in L^2 \Lambda^{k+1}(\Omega)\}$ . The commuting property can be expressed as:

$$\Pi^k d^k = \Pi^{k+1} d^{k+1}.$$

The bounded property is:

$$\|\Pi^k v\|_{V^k} \leq C \|v\|_{V^k}.$$

## Why are Bounded commuting projections the key?

Let  $v \in V^k$  and  $d^k v = 0$ . Then, there exists a  $w \in V^{k-1}$  such that

$$\text{P1)} \quad d^{k-1} w = v$$

$$\text{P2)} \quad \|w\|_{V^{k-1}} \leq C \|v\|_{V^k}$$

We would like the finite element spaces to have this property too.

Let  $v_h \in V_h^k$  and  $d^k v_h = 0$ . Then, by P1 and P2 above there exists  $w \in V^{k-1}$  such that  $d^{k-1} w = v_h$  and  $\|w\|_{V^{k-1}} \leq C \|v_h\|_{V^k}$ . We let  $w_h = \Pi^{k-1} w$  then using the commuting property of  $\Pi^k$

$$\text{P1h)} \quad d^{k-1} w_h = \Pi^k d^{k-1} w = \Pi^k v_h = v_h.$$

Using the bounded properties of  $\Pi^k$  we have

$$\text{P2h)} \quad \|w_h\|_{V^{k-1}} = \|\Pi^{k-1} w\|_{V^{k-1}} \leq C \|w\|_{V^{k-1}} \leq C \|v_h\|_{V^k}.$$

## Our Contribution

Inspired by the work of Falk and Winther we define projections to the FEEC spaces with the following properties:

- Commute with the exterior derivative
- Are local
- Bounded in  $L^2$
- Defined in any dimension

## Ideas of construction

We let  $\Delta_k$  denote all simplices of dimension  $k$  of our triangulation. The spaces  $V_h^i := \text{span}\{\phi_\sigma : \sigma \in \Delta_i\}$ . The  $\phi_\sigma$  are the Whitney forms. They have the following property

$$\int_\tau \text{tr}_\tau \phi_\sigma = \delta_{\tau\sigma}$$

First we see that the canonical projection  $\Pi^i$  will be given by:

$$\Pi^i u = \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_i} \left( \int_\sigma \text{tr}_\sigma u \right) \phi_\sigma.$$

Writing them in three dimensions using vector calculus we have:

$$\Pi^0 u = \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_0} u(\sigma) \phi_\sigma, \quad \Pi^1 u = \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_1} \left( \int_\sigma u \cdot t \, ds \right) \phi_\sigma$$

$$\Pi^2 u = \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_2} \left( \int_\sigma u \cdot n \, ds \right) \phi_\sigma, \quad \Pi^3 u = \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_3} \left( \int_\sigma u \, dx \right) \phi_\sigma.$$

## Our Projections in 3D

$$\pi^0 u = \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_0} \left( \int_{\text{es}(\sigma)} Z^0(\sigma) u \, dx \right) \phi_\sigma, \quad \pi^1 u = \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_1} \left( \int_{\text{es}(\sigma)} Z^1(\sigma) \cdot u \, dx \right) \phi_\sigma$$

$$\pi^2 u = \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_2} \left( \int_{\text{es}(\sigma)} Z^2(\sigma) \cdot u \, dx \right) \phi_\sigma, \quad \pi^3 u = \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_3} \left( \int_{\text{es}(\sigma)} Z^3(\sigma) u \, dx \right) \phi_\sigma.$$

- Here  $\text{es}(\sigma)$  is the extended star of  $\sigma$  which is the union of all tetrahedra that intersect  $\sigma$ .
- The function  $Z^i(\sigma)$  are weight functions that are bounded in  $L^2$  and they are the key!



### The weight functions $Z^i$ : Property (1)

$$\int_{\text{es}(\sigma)} Z^0(\sigma) u \, dx = u(\sigma) \quad \forall \sigma \in \Delta_0, u \in V^0$$

$$\int_{\text{es}(\sigma)} Z^1(\sigma) \cdot u \, dx = \int_{\sigma} u \cdot t \, ds \quad \forall \sigma \in \Delta_1, u \in V^1$$

$$\int_{\text{es}(\sigma)} Z^2(\sigma) \cdot u \, dx = \int_{\sigma} u \cdot n \, ds \quad \forall \sigma \in \Delta_2, u \in V^2$$

$$\int_{\text{es}(\sigma)} Z^3(\sigma) u \, dx = \int_{\sigma} u \quad \forall \sigma \in \Delta_3, u \in V^3$$

## The weight functions $Z^i$ : Property (2)

$$-\operatorname{div} Z^1(\sigma) = Z^0(\partial_1\sigma) \quad \forall \sigma \in \Delta_1(\sigma)$$

$$\operatorname{curl} Z^2(\sigma) = Z^1(\partial_2\sigma) \quad \forall \sigma \in \Delta_2(\sigma)$$

$$-\operatorname{grad} Z^3(\sigma) = Z^2(\partial_3\sigma) \quad \forall \sigma \in \Delta_3(\sigma).$$

Let  $\sigma = [x_0, \dots, x_k]$  be an oriented  $k$ -simplex with vertices  $x_i$  then the boundary operator is given by

$$\partial_k \sigma = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j [x_0, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_k].$$

Here  $\widehat{\phantom{x}}$  represents omission.

**The weight functions  $Z^i$ : Property (3) and (4)**

(3) The support of  $Z^i(\sigma)$  is in  $\text{es}(\sigma)$ .

(4) They are bounded in  $L^2$  with the correct scaling.

## Chief tool to construct $Z^i$

The fundamental tool is existence of a regular right inverse of the exterior derivative in the kernel space. This is proved on Lipschitz domains in:

- M. Costabel and A. McIntosh. *On Bogovskii and regularized Poincare integral operators for de Rham complexes on Lipschitz domains*, 2010.

Let  $D$  be a contractible Lipschitz domain in 3d.

- (1) Let  $u \in \mathring{H}(\text{curl}, D)$  and  $\text{curl } u = 0$  on  $D$  then there exists  $\rho \in \mathring{H}^1(D)$  such that  $\text{grad } \rho = u$ .
- (2) Let  $u \in \mathring{H}(\text{div}, D)$  and  $\text{div } u = 0$  on  $D$  then there exists  $\rho \in [\mathring{H}^1(D)]^3$  such that  $\text{curl } \rho = u$ .
- (3) Let  $u \in L^2(D)$  and  $\int u \, dx = 0$  then there exists  $\rho \in [\mathring{H}^1(D)]^3$  such that  $\text{div } \rho = u$ .

... continued

We need the results of Costabel and McIntosh with  $D = \text{es}(\sigma)$ .

Furthermore, for the right bounds of the weight functions  $Z^i$  we need the right that the right inverse on Costabel and McIntosh are bounded correctly. In particular, we need to see how the constants of the bounds behave.

In a recent paper we attempted to do this, but only handled some cases. So in the current work we list the bound as an assumption.

J. Guzmán and A. J. Salgado, *Estimation of the continuity constants for Bogovskii and regularized Poincare integral operators*, 2021.